Ex. 18 ACC 5 H 1996-1

## MSD WORKGROUP CHAIRMAN'S REPORT TO FULL ACCSH COMMITTEE OCTOBER 24/25, 1995

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE ACCSH COMMITTEE, I AM REPORTING TODAY AS TO WHERE WE CURRENTLY STAND. REGARDING MSD. AFTER OUR LAST MEETING. AS EACH OF YOU REMEMBER, SEVERAL REPRESENTATIVES OF INDUSTRY ASSOCIATIONS CAME BEFORE US TO MAKE PRESENTATIONS REGARDING THEIR VIEWS ON THE MSD WORKGROUP'S DRAFT REPORT. BASICALLY, THE THEME OF THE

ELEVEN SPEAKERS CENTERED AROUND THREE ISSUES:

THE FIRST ISSUE WAS COMPLAINING ABOUT THE PROCESS, THAT ACCSH, AND I AS CHAIRMAN, WENT THROUGH TO SELECT MEMBERS OF THE WORKGROUP. SENTIMENT WAS THAT IT WAS A CLOSED GROUP AND THE INDUSTRY WASN'T ALLOWED TO PARTICIPATE FULLY. AS ACCSH COMMITTEE MEMBERS, YOU ARE AWARE OF THE WORKGROUP SELECTION PROCESS. THE ACCSH CHAIRMAN ASKS FOR VOLUNTEERS FROM THE FULL COMMITTEE

AND THEN SELECTS THE WORKGROUP CHAIRMAN. THE WORKGROUP CHAIRMAN SELECTS OTHERS TO PARTICIPATE AS DEEMED NECESSARY. ALL THOSE WHO ASKED OR VOLUNTEERED TO BE ON THE WORKGROUP WERE ACCEPTED AND INCLUDED IN ALL WORKGROUP MATTERS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE HERE THAT NO ONE WAS TURNED DOWN WHO ASKED TO PARTICIPATE.

THE SECOND GENERAL ISSUE FROM THE PRESENTERS WAS BASICALLY, "WHY DO WE NEED THIS - THERE IS NO EVIDENCE TO SHOW THAT MSD IS A PROBLEM IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY". LET ME OFFER A PERSONAL OBSERVATION TO THOSE WHO SUGGEST THAT MSD IS NOT A PROBLEM IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. EVIDENTLY THEY HAVE NEVER WORKED IN THE SAFETY PROFESSION ON JOBSITES AND WITNESSED THE NUMBERS OF EMPLOYEES WHO COME INTO FIRST AID OFFICES OR GO TO

HOSPITALS OR DOCTORS WITH PULLED MUSCLES, STRAINS, SPRAINS, BACK INJURIES, ETC., THAT INVOLVE MSD. WE DID A STUDY IN OUR COMPANY OF INJURIES FROM 1983 THROUGH 1994. THIS STUDY INDICATED THAT APPROXIMATELY 50% OR 1/2 OF ALL OUR INJURIES WERE MSD RELATED. I AM NOT AT LIBERTY TO SHARE THE STUDY WITH YOU DUE TO IT'S COMPANY CONFIDENTIALITY; HOWEVER, BACK INJURIES ALONE RESULTED IN 35%

OF OUR LOSS COSTS FOR THAT PERIOD OF TIME. IF A COMPANY LIKE OURS, WITH THE WORLD CLASS SAFETY AND HEALTH PROGRAM AND RECORD THAT WE HAVE, ALONG WITH THE INNOVATIVE CONCEPTS AND PROGRAMS THAT WE PUT FORTH, IS EXPERIENCING THOSE KINDS OF NUMBERS IN MSD, I'M QUITE SURE THAT OTHER CONTRACTORS ARE SHOWING THOSE NUMBERS OR WORSE.

THE THIRD GENERAL ISSUE WAS THAT "THERE IS NOT ENOUGH SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE TO CONCLUDE THAT MSD IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY IS A PROBLEM." MR CHAIRMAN, YOU ADDRESSED THIS ISSUE IN A LETTER FROM THE CENTER TO PROTECT WORKERS' RIGHTS TO A SELECTED GROUP OF UNIVERSITY-BASED SCIENTISTS AND PHYSICIANS, INCLUDING THOSE SUGGESTED BY PETE CHANEY AND THE AGC, ASKING THEM TO REVIEW THE DATA AND SUBMIT THEIR FINDINGS.

I WOULD AT THIS TIME LIKE TO SHARE
THEIR CONCLUSIONS WITH THE FULL
COMMITTEE?

(REVIEW CONCLUSIONS)

I THINK THAT PUTS TO REST ANY
SUGGESTION THAT THE DATA
REVIEWED BY THE MSD WORKGROUP WAS
IN ANY WAY NOT FACTUAL OR FLAWED. AS
EACH OF YOU WILL REMEMBER, AFTER
LISTENING TO THE PRESENTERS MAKE
THEIR CASE, I ASKED THEM TO PROVIDE

THE WORKGROUP WITH ANY DATA OR DOCUMENTATION TO DISPROVE THE WORKGROUP'S FINDINGS SO THE WORKGROUP COULD COME TO A CONCLUSION ON THIS ISSUE. THIS WAS FOLLOWED UP WITH AN AUGUST 9TH, 1995 LETTER FROM MYSELF TO THE PRESENTERS AND AN ADDITIONAL AUGUST 29TH, 1995 LETTER FROM THE CHAIRMAN ASKING FOR DATA AND PARTICIPATION. TODATE, I HAVE RECEIVED EIGHT LETTERS FROM THOSE WHO PRESENTED, ALL

DECLINING TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKGROUP OR IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PRODUCT AND, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE AGC RESPONDING TO THE CHAIRMAN. NONE SENDING ANY VALID MARK-UPS OR DATA TO SUPPORT OR DENY THE WORKGROUP'S REPORT. AS A RESULT OF THESE ACTIVITIES, OR LACK THEREOF, IT HAS BECOME APPARENT TO THE WORKGROUP CHAIRMAN THAT THE WORKGROUP WILL BE UNABLE TO GENERATE THE VOLUNTARY INDUSTRY

COOPERATION NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A CONSENSUS VOTE AMONG THIS FULL COMMITTEE. IF I WERE TO CALL FOR A FULL COMMITTEE VOTE, I BELIEVE I WOULD RECEIVE A MAJORITY VOTE BUT NOT A FULL "YES" VOTE TO FORWARD THE WORKGROUP REPORT ON TO OSHA FOR ACCEPTANCE. BASED ON THIS, I HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT FURTHER DELIBERATION OF THE MSD ISSUE BY THE WORKGROUP AND THIS COMMITTEE WILL NOT YIELD

ADDITIONAL BENEFITS. THEREFORE, I MAKE
THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS TO
THE FULL ACCSH COMMITTEE:

THAT THE FULL ACCSH VOTE TO

REFER THE MSD MATTER BACK TO

OSHA.

- THAT OSHA USE FORMAL

  ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

  AVAILABLE TO THE AGENCY TO

  CONSIDER A CONSTRUCTION MSD

  STANDARD.
- THAT THE WORKGROUP FORWARD

  THE DOCUMENTATION IT HAS

  DEVELOPED TO DATE TO OSHA FOR

  THEIR USE.

THAT OSHA, PERHAPS IN CONJUNCTION WITH NIOSH, CONDUCT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS TO DETERMINE IF THE WORKGROUP DRAFT HAS PRACTICAL APPLICABILITY IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY, IS COST EFFECTIVE, AND HAS AN EFFECT ON REDUCING MSD IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY.

I WOULD LIKE TO NOTE AT THIS

TIME THAT SOME OF THE INDUSTRY

PRESENTERS SUPPORTED A

DEMONSTRATION EFFORT AND

EVEN OFFERED TO PARTICIPATE IN

THAT EFFORT. I WOULD HOPE

THAT THEY WOULD STILL BE FORTH

COMING IN THEIR SUPPORT.

THAT THE MSD IN CONSTRUCTION
WORKGROUP BE PUT ON HOLD AT
THIS TIME; BUT, BE AVAILABLE FOR
ADVICE AND COUNCIL IN THE
EVENT THAT OSHA WISHES TO
CONTINUE IN THE DEVELOPMENT
OF A STANDARD OR CONDUCT
DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS.

RESPECTIVELY SUBMITTED,

S. C. BURKHAMMER, CHAIRMAN

ACCSH MSD WORKGROUP